IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANTONY DOMINIC

MONDAY, THE 19TH NOVEMBER 2007 / 28TH KARTHIKA 1929

WP(C).No. 34036 of 2007(B)

PETITIONER:

MARY CHRISTY KUTHOOR (MINOR),
REPRESENTED BY HER FATHER MR.ANTONY,
KUTHOOR VELLATTUKARA HOUSE, P.O.MULLASSERY
PIN 680 509, THRISSUR DISTRICT.

BY ADV. SRI.KRB.KAIMAL (SR.)
SRI.ANIL K.NAIR
SRI.B.UNNIKRISHNA KAIMAL
SRI.V.MADHUSUDHANAN
SRI.PRAMJI PAUL VAZHAPPILLY

RESPONDENTS:

---

1. THE DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTIONS,
CHAIRMAN, STATE LEVEL SCHOOL WORK EXPERIENCE
FAIR 2007-08, JAGATHY, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

2. THE DISTRICT EDUCATIONAL OFFICER,
CHAVAKKAD EDUCATION DISTRICT, CHAIRMAN,
CHAVAKKAD EDUCATION DISTRICT LEVEL SCHOOL
WORK EXPERIENCE FAIR 2007-08,
CHAVAKKAD, THRISSUR DISTRICT.

BY GOVERNMENT PLEADER SRI.I.V. PRAMOD

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 19/11/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

ANTONY DOMINIC, J

W.P.(C). 34036/2007

Dated this the 19th day of November, 2007

JUDGMENT

The petitioner who had participated in the District Level Fair, 2007 has filed this writ petition to consider Ext.P3 and award A-Grade with first place in the item 'Stuffed Toys'. There is a further prayer to admit the petitioner in the State Level Fair proposed to be held on 20.11.2007.

2. Petitioner had participated in the item 'Stuffed Toys' in which the petitioner was awarded second place with A-Grade. It is contended that going by the performance, the petitioner ought to have been given first place with A-Grade.

3. The respondents have framed a manual which is the relevant portion of Ext.P1. They have also given guidelines on the basis of which the 100 marks have to be awarded and specific guidelines have been indicated with manual. It is in terms of this that the performance of each

of the participant is assessed. The Judges who were appointed for assessing the performance of the contestants have applied the guidelines set for the same and declared that the petitioner is eligible only for the second place.

4. This Court will not be justified in upsetting the judgment of those experts particularly in the absence of any satisfactory material to conclude that the assessment of the Judges is perverse. I do not find any material to upset the decision of the Judges.

Writ petition fails and the same is dismissed.

ANTONY DOMINIC
Judge

mrcs